COVER CROP AND UREA VOLATILIZATION RESEARCH RESULTS NEW TRENDS WINTER SEMINAR, SHELBY DECEMBER 6, 2011



Clain A. Jones, (406) 994-6076, <u>clainj@montana.edu</u>, Justin K. O'Dea, and Perry R. Miller

I have some good news and bad news on MSU cover crop research

- Good news: This year, MSU received a 3 year grant from USDA to study the effects of mixed cover crops on soil quality and grain yield
- Bad news: The first field season will be 2012
- Good news: Perry Miller and others have been studying single species cover crops (green manures) for over a decade
- I'll show research results from single species cover crops first and finish with preliminary results from cover crop cocktails

What we think we know about cover crops in Montana

- Replacing fallow with cover crops should reduce nitrate leaching, saline seeps, organic matter loss, and soil erosion.
- Legumes as forage or green manure crops are promising fallow replacements because of their N fixing capability.
- Water and nitrogen use by cover crop may reduce yield of following crop in some years





Field Study: Legume green manure (LGM) vs fallow

5 no-till producer-collaborators



Experimental Design

0

00

9/4/2010 4 pm

LGM (legume green manure - mainly pea) vs. summer fallow LGM grown in 2009 and sprayed out at first flower 6-12 paired samples/site 500-1200 yard transect lengths

Image USDA Farm Service Agenc

0

•

(0)

(î)

How did LGM affect the following wheat grain yield?



Wheat grain yield was about 4 bu/ac higher after fallow than after LGM

Questions...



Was water use by LGM responsible?

Likely not – 2010 had near record high precipitation

- Was lower nitrogen availability after LGM responsible? Likely – LGM soil had ~18 lb N/ac less nitrate than after fallow at wheat seeding
- How possible?
 - Legumes will use some nitrate even if fixing N.
 - Lower soil water after LGM than fallow (2009 was dry) lowers release of N from soil organic matter and residue.

How did LGM affect the following wheat grain protein?



Grain protein was not different between LGM and fallow when averaged across sites

How did LGM affect grain protein at fertilized vs unfertilized sites?



LGM decreased grain protein at fertilized sites and increased protein at unfertilized sites

Questions...

- Do legume green manures increase yield and protein in LONG TERM?
- Is soil quality enhanced by legume green manures?
 - Compared to fertilized wheat
- Effects of legume N vs. fertilizer N?





8 year study near Bozeman: LGM-wheat vs. Fallow-wheat systems



Did LGMs affect soil quality in this long term study?

- Bozeman, MT: 8 year old Rotation Study
- 4 systems compared
 - NT Fallow Wheat (F)
 - NT Continuous Wheat (CW)
 - NT Legume (pea) Green Manure Wheat (LGM)
 - NT Pea Wheat (P)
- Sensitive indicators of soil quality change (0-15 cm)
 - Potentially mineralizable N (PMN)
 - Microbial biomass C (MB-C)
 - Wet aggregate stability (WAS)





Potentially Mineralizable N model results



Microbial Biomass



MB: Legume > Wheat systems (P = 0.03)

Wet Aggregate Stability



Cover Crop Cocktails – Preliminary Research Results

- Farmer's field near Willow Creek (as dry as Shelby!)
- Four species: pea, turnip, sudangrass, and sunflower
- Summer planted



Biomass production - 2010

~1.5 ton/acre total



Miller, unpub data

Cover Crop Cocktails – Preliminary Research Results

Soil water was only 3/4 inch less after cover crops than after fallow at wheat seeding.

Crop looked worse on cover crop side, but farmer tells us yields were similar.

Cover Crop Cocktails – Preliminary Research Results



Site: Post Farm, 6 miles west of Bozeman

Goal: Determine effects of species and seeding date on root to shoot ratios (important for soil quality?) Species: radish, beets, turnips

Seedings: April, May, June

April seeded radish bolted and reduced root growth compared to May seeded.

Seeded

Summary

- One time cover crops have potential to lower yields and/or protein of following crops
- After 4 cover crop cycles, available N, yield and protein of following crop can be much higher than following fallow.
- Less is known in Montana on cover crop cocktails, but likely also provide a long term, not short term benefit
- Stay tuned for more research in next couple years

Acknowledgements

Funding:

NRCS-Conservation Innovation Grant Program, Montana Wheat and Barley Committee, and USDA – Western Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education program

Questions on cover crops?

Ammonia volatilization losses following surface urea applications to wheat in Montana

Richard Engel Dept. Land Resources and Environ. Sci Montana State University

Urea and NH₃ volatilization

- susceptible to volatility losses once it undergoes hydrolysis; long known problem, but.....
 - volatility problems have been assumed to be minor if soil temperatures were cold, e.g. <40-50 °F
 - research says "volatilization losses are greater in warm weather".... by inference losses minimized if applications are made in cold weather
- losses below 40 °F never measured in the field to our knowledge

Surface-applied urea & volatilization

pH1micro-site



Urease and residue

- enzyme lives in soil & found in high conc. in crop residue
- volatilization risks are often > with no till because of greater urease activity
- no till has become norm for wheat production



Goals

How much N as NH₃ are we losing from surface-applied urea (fall, winter, and early spring)?

Does this represent a large economic loss? If so, what are the conditions where the largest N losses are observed ?

Do cold temperatures provide protection against losses (40 to 50 °F)?

Goals

- Defining mitigation strategies to minimize NH₃ loss ?
 - Timing
 - Enhanced efficiency N products (NBPT or Agrotain)?
 - Incorporation & subsurface urea applications

Methods – On farm studies



Methods: Integrated horizontal flux

- micrometeorological mass balance
- does not disturb the soil-atmosphere environment
- <u>continuous measurement</u> of gas loss over time





Summary of 15 trials

Mean NH₃ loss: 20.0 % of applied N Range NH₃ loss: 3.1-44.1% of applied N





High NH₃ loss campaigns (>30%)

Campaign	Fertilization date	% urea-N volatilized
3 - north Havre	Nov. 14, 2008	31.5
4 - north Havre	March 25, 2009	35.6
5 - west Havre	March 26, 2009	39.9
10 -Willow Creek	Feb. 26, 2010	44.1





Fertilizer applied – March 25, 2009 "light snow & air-temp. 31°F"



soil surface frozen 18 °F Soil water content = 35%







High NH₃ losses from urea -what to avoid -

- Do not apply urea to damp or wet soil surfaces. Wet + slow drying is ideal for seeing large NH₃ losses.
- Example early spring or late winter; ground may be wet; soil frozen at night, but thaws during the day



What about urea on snow ?



Campaign 16 - Denton - winter application



Campaign 16 – Denton – winter application



Summary points

- Danvers clay loam, pH 7.0
- snow pack disappeared 2nd wk
- largest NH₃ occurred during 2nd wk, mean surface temp = 36° F (6-13 dpf)

precipitation light wks 1,2, & 3

Campaign 16 – Denton – winter application



6 d post-fertilization

13 d post-fertilization

snow-pack disappeared during 2nd week

Urea on snow

- early results showed significant NH₃ losses after snowpack disappeared, not as large as urea onto wet soil surface w/o snow
- soil drying (wet → dry); particularly slow drying is when largest losses observed

mean daily surface temperature can be cold; e.g. 22°F 0-6
 d; 36°F 6-13 d

Reducing NH₃ loss from surface-applied urea

- apply urea to dry soil surfaces only
- NH₃ losses do not occur until after urea dissolves



Size and frequency of precipitation events between Oct – April (last 10 years)



Precipitation class, inch

Low NH₃ loss campaigns (<10% of applied N) What happened?

	Campaign	Fertilization date	% urea-N volatilized
1 - w	est Havre	April 3, 2008	8.4
2 - no	orth Havre	Oct 8, 2008	3.1
11 –	west Havre	Oct. 9, 2009	6.3

same field location as Campaign 5 (39.9% loss), but 1" ppt event occurred 4 days after fert

Summary comments

- significant N losses as NH₃ can happen in Montana when urea is surface-applied
- wet surface soil conditions w/o accompanying ppt \rightarrow high risk for appreciable NH₃ loss, even if soil temperatures less than 40°F
- greater potential for these conditions in Montana during late fall, winter (thaw), early spring
- throw urea prills on the ground. Do they dissolve ?
- surface-applying urea to a dry soil surface is best, then hope for rain and wet snow that infiltrates into soil; some loss of N (10-20%) appears likely based on results to date

Summary Comments

- mid-winter urea on snow 2 campaigns to-date
 (20.7 and 24.3 % N losses observed) may be
 problematic from NH₃ loss standpoint but we need
 to investigate further ?
- NBPT or Agrotain may have a role under the high loss potential conditions - longevity may be greater in calcareous soils
- double-shoot urea to minimize volatility losses

Agencies supporting

- USDA Western Sustainable Agric. Research & Education program
- MT Fertilizer Advisory Committee
- MT Wheat and Barley Committee
- NRCS-CIG program
- International Plant Nutrition Institute
- Agrotain International
- AG Wise Kremlin, Montana

Questions?

For More Information

Soil Fertility Website: http://landresources.montana.edu/soilfertility

Contains links to my presentations including this one, economic N rate calculator, fertilizer facts, press releases, Extension publications, etc.