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Objectives

1. Show prevalence of acidification in Montana (similar issue in WA, OR, ID, ND, SD, and CO)
2. Review acidification’s cause and contributing factors
3. Depict low-pH soil affected crops
4. Present ways to identify low soil pH
5. Discuss steps to prevent or reverse acidification
6. Suggest crop management options in low pH soil

The Montana Fertilizer Check-Off and the Western Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program help fund our studies.
Prevalence: MT counties with at least one field with pH < 5.5

Dec. 2018
Symbol is not on location of field(s)

40% of 20 random locations in Chouteau County have pH < 5.5 in top 2”
Natural reasons for low soil pH

- Soils with low buffering capacity (low soil organic matter, coarse texture, granitic rather than calcareous)
- Historical forest vegetation soils have lower pH than historical grassland
- Regions with high precipitation, leading to leaching of nitrate (and higher yields, therefore generally receiving more N fertilizer)
Agronomic reasons for low soil pH

- Ammonium-based N fertilizer above plant needs due to nitrification:
  
  ammonium or urea fertilizer + air + H₂O \rightarrow \text{nitrate (NO}_3^-\text{)} + \text{acid (H}^+\text{)}

- Leaching loss of nitrate: less nitrate to take up = less root release of basic anions (OH⁻ and HCO₃⁻) to maintain neutral charge in root

- Crop residue removal: removes Ca, Mg, K (‘base’ cations)

- No-till concentrates acidity where N fertilizer applied (though occurs in tilled soils too).

- Legumes acidify their rooting zone through N-fixation. Perennial legumes (e.g., alfalfa) more so than annuals (e.g., pea). Yet apparently much less than fertilization of wheat.
Low soil pH in Montana’s historically calcareous soils is generally only in upper 6 inches

Rick Engel, unpub data.
14-yr of N fertilization reduce top 4” pH on dryland cropping west of Bozeman up to 1 pH

Silt loam, 2% OM

Engel, Ewing, Miller, unpub data
Some dryland crop rotations reduced top 4” soil pH more than others

Why did pea hay reduce pH?

Silt loam; Engel, Ewing, Miller, unpub data

Highest profit (Miller et al 2015)
6-yr N fertilization reduce soil pH (0-3”) west of Big Sandy

sandy clay loam, 1.1% OM

Alternate year was always winter wheat; Jones and Miller unpub data

why faster rate?
Have any of you seen decreases in soil pH?

Questions?

On to impact on crop
At low soil pH:
• Plants go hungry for some nutrients
• Nutrients can be lost to environment
• Al and Mn reach toxic levels

Gov. W. Australia, Dept. Ag. and Food
Low pH increases soil Al to toxic levels

Engel unpub. data, 2016, 5 farms near Highwood, MT
What to look for

- Unexplained poor health in low or mid-slope areas
- Al toxicity
  - stubby club roots, no fine branching (similar to nematode damage)

photo sources: Engel

Above ground symptoms of Al toxicity

- small leaves, short thick internodes
- yellow along margin near tip on older leaves
- purple or brown lesions in chlorotic regions, indentations
- leaf withering and collapse in center
Acid soils change efficacy and persistence of chems

Have you see unexplained damage?
May be first indicator of pH change.

- Small changes in pH across a field = difference between high crop safety with low efficacy, and high crop damage with weed control.
- Chemical treatments may need modification. Read and follow label directions.
Poor N fixation may be indicator of low pH

Have any of you seen ‘unexplained’ low N fixation?

Pea rhizobia (#/g soil)

Soil pH

Drew et al. 2014
Acid soils have additional negative impacts

- Mn toxicity – has not yet been found an issue in MT
- Increase in some fungal diseases (e.g., Cephalosporium stripe)
- Toxic H⁺ levels (Kidd and Proctor, 2001, Scotland)

Image from Wheat Disease ID. MT Wht & Barley Co.
Questions?

On to diagnosis and prevention
Symptoms are not uniform across field landscapes

Diagnose: scout, soil test

Look at pH on prior soil tests from composited samples

- pH < 6 likely have spots with pH ≤ 5
- 6 < pH < 7.5 don’t assume no areas have low pH
- pH > 7.5, likely don’t have problem (yet).
Soil test

1. Scout or use aerial maps to locate healthy and unhealthy areas
2. Field pH test, use soil/water slurry of top 3”. Why not the standard 6”? 
3. Avoid compositing samples from different slope areas.
4. Send 0-3” depth sample to lab for pH (<5?). Test 3-6” if might till.
5. pH varies seasonally and annually, test from same area and time of year by same lab using same procedure to see trend
6. Veris can also sample for pH
Management to prevent acidification: Increase N fertilizer use efficiency

• Soil test close to application time. Make sure enough PKS
• Use conservative pre-plant rate, top-dress if adequate moisture
• Apply N close to peak crop uptake
• Reduce N rates especially when protein discounts low
• Use variable, site specific rates: Less N in low production areas limited by factors other than N (e.g., low pH, shallow soils)
Management to prevent acidification: Change N source?

- Use calcium ammonium nitrate (27-0-0; $$) instead of urea or UAN (CAN shouldn’t volatilize so can likely also lower rate)
- Include legume rotations, manure if available

**Most acidifying**
- MAP = AS ≈ 2x urea
- DAP (18-46-0)
- Urea (46-0-0), UAN (28-0-0)
- CAN ≈ 1/3x urea

**Least acidifying**
- Potassium nitrate (13-0-46)
More preventive options

- Leave crop residue in field – retains base cations and SOM buffers pH changes and Al toxicity
- Legumes in rotation – no N fertilizer and residue increases soil surface pH more than non-legumes (Paul et al., 2003)

Which of these (or previous) might your clients try?
Perennial forage can maintain or increase soil pH

Both crops received 60 lb N/ac

pH differs between crops with * > 90%, ** > 95%, *** > 99% confidence, Mandan, ND Liebig et al., 2018
What else are people trying?

Questions?

On to adaptation and mitigation options
Adaptation: Crop species vary in tolerance to low soil pH; legumes are least tolerant. 

McFarland et al., 2015; “Wheat high” are Al and acid tolerant varieties.
Wheat varieties have different tolerance to pH and Al

Threshold pH

MT variety trial results are available at
http://landresources.montana.edu/soilfertility/acidif/index.html
Seed-placed P$_2$O$_5$ a quick acting ‘band-aid’ to increase wheat yield even when (or only when?) P soil test is sufficient

Soil pH 4.4, Olsen P = 49 ppm

Engel unpub data

0 P$_2$O$_5$

90 lb P$_2$O$_5$/acre
Seed-placed $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$: increased durum grain yield in one farm, no response another farm

Engel unpub data

Economics?

Engel unpub data
Mitigation: Liming

Know:

- Calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE; how the source compares to pure CaCO₃)
- Lime score (LS; adds factors for moisture and fineness to CCE)
- Current soil pH (from a lab) and desired pH
  - > 5 to reduce Al toxicity
  - > 5.5 to have some buffer
  - > 6 to be good for 10+ years
- Buffer pH – a lab measurement of soil’s ability to buffer (resist) pH change with lime addition. Regionally specific test.
- Desired crop
Mitigation: Liming

- **Rate:**
  - Find from online tables (or your lab) with buffer pH and target pH
  - Or calculate using WSU equation
    \[
    \text{Lime rate (ton/acre)} = 1.86 \times (\text{final desired pH} - 4.6)
    \]
  - Note: Researchers are working towards area specific recommendations

- Only lime field areas with low soil pH
Sugarbeet lime

- Good – it doesn’t cost anything
- Bad – shipping costs (up to $35/ton); challenging material to work with (moisture and clumping), need a wet lime spreader, contains chunks and some trash, and incorporation w/ tillage needed for best results
- Rates of 3-6 tons/acre may be necessary to bring pH to acceptable level (pH > 6)
- Pelletized lime? Expensive and need about 400 lb/acre per year just to offset typical N rate.
Tillage?

- Inversion till to mix acid zone with higher pH zone below – one-time summer tillage doesn’t negate long term benefits of no-till (Norton et al., 2014)

- Problem: eventually make low pH zone deeper, when need to lime, requires more lime and deeper tillage. Will negated some no till benefits.
**Good news**

- MT has less acidic soil issues than other regions; catch and prevent now.
- MT’s issue generally in upper 3”, Palouse Prairie and SK have low pH at 3-6”. Why important?
- Many MT cropland soils have large pH range with calcareous parent material
- P and metal micronutrient availability better at low to neutral pH

**Why important?**

- Many MT cropland soils have large pH range with calcareous parent material
- P and metal micronutrient availability better at low to neutral pH

**Opportunities for crop advisers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil pH</th>
<th>% of fields in each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1982-’84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0-6.4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8-5.9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6-5.8</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4-5.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2-5.3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0-5.1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Schroeder, Univ of Idaho, unpub data
Summary

• Cropland soils are becoming more acidic, largely due to N fertilization
• This reduces yields for several reasons
• Identify whether fields have a problem now to slow or prevent acidification with sound management
• Selecting crop rotations with lower N needs is likely best way to prevent further acidification
• Crop and variety selection or seed placed P fertilizer can help adapt to acid soils
• Liming, perhaps tilling, or planting perennials can mitigate acidification
Thank you!

Questions?

For more information and links to additional resources on soil acidification see MSU’s cropland soil acidification website http://landresources.montana.edu/soilfertility/acidif/index.html

If you have questions about soil and buffer pH tests go to https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w9PWZSaFfb4