### Interpreting Soil Test Reports and Fertilizer Source Options

### Eastern Extension Agent Training, September 25, 2006

by Clain Jones, Extension Soil Fertility Specialist clainj@montana.edu; 406 994-6076



### **Your Questions**

- How do I interpret a Soil Test Report?
- What are fertilizer options for small grains?
- What info exists on ESN (Environmentally Sound Nitrogen) and is it worthwhile?
- How are urea applications managed differently than ammonium nitrate applications?

## What should you first look for on a soil test report?

- Depth should have at least a 0-6 in. section
- Nitrate-N Is it in Ib/ac or ppm? If in ppm, you need to convert to Ib/ac: 2 x ppm x depth/6 in. and add up separate depths.
- Is phosphorus measured as Olsen P or Bray P? (MSU guidelines are for Olsen P and there are not good conversions between the 2).

Ac		SOIL TEST REPORT								Fro	m:						
Soil Analysis by Agwise Laboratorices Northwood: (701) 587-6010 Benson: (320) 843-4109				FIELD SAMPLE CNTY TWP SECTION QTR ACRES PREV. CROP								Toole Co.					
SUBMITTED FOR:				SUBMITTED BY: CE2971 CENEX HARVEST STATES PO BOX 1272 29 NORTH CENTRAL CUT BANK MT 59427							2971	REF# 6796906 LAB# 2582 BOX# 0					
Date Sampled:				Date Received: 2/28/2002						D	Date Reported: 2/10/2005						
NUTRENT	N THE SONL	uni Vilow	IERPH	RETUAT	uancan IHligth	Ba	s <b>T CRO</b> irley-Ma	MP CHION	ICE	20	id Cra	NP CHIONC	E	69	rd Cro	IP CHOI	æ
0-6" 6-24" 0-24"	37 lb/ac 36 lb/ac 73 lb/ac	****	****			50	YIELD	BU	and the second se		YIELD	D GOAL	A CONTRACTOR		YIELD	) GOAL	
Nitrate						SUGO	ESTE	D GUIDE	LINES	SUGO	BESTER		INES	SUG	GESTED		INES
Olsen Phosphorus	14 ppm	****	****	****	***	LB/A	CRE	APPLI		LB/A	CRE	APPLICA	TION	LB//	ACRE	APPLIC	ATION
Potassium	289 ppm	****	****	****	****	PaQe	15	Band	tarter)*	P-O-				P.O.			
Chloride						K <sub>2</sub> 0	10	Band(S	itarter)*	K <sub>2</sub> 0				κ <sub>2</sub> ο			
0-6" 6-24" Sulfur	8 lb/ac 360 +lb/ac	****	**	****	****	CI S	5	Band	(Trial)	CI S				CI S			
Boron			1			В				В				В			
Zinc	0.57 ppm	****	****			Zn	2	Ba	nd	Zn				Zn			
ron						Fe				Fe				Fe			
Manganese			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Mn				Mn				Mn			
Copper						Cu				Cu							
Calcium										- Cu	<u> </u>				┢──┤		
Sodium						ivig				Mg	<u> </u>			Mg			
Org.Matter	2.5 %	****	***			Lime				Lime				Lime			
Carbonate(CCE)			1	1					Cation	c .		% Base S	aturatio	n (Typi	ical Rang	ge)	
0-6" 6-24"	0.44 mmho/cm 0.67 mmho/cm	****	***	***		Soil p	H Bu	Iffer pH	Exchang Capacity	e , %	Ca	% Mg	%	ĸ	% Na	9	6 Н
Col Colto						7.5											

## What else should I look for?

	"Good"							
Test	range	Possible problem						
Soil pH	6-8	Low-poor nodulation; High-can indicate high Na. Either high or low-can tie up P						
Organic Matter 2-8% (O.M.)		Low-poor water holding capacity, low nutrient release; High-Cu deficiency, salts if from manure						
"EC" or salts	< 4 mmho/cm	Poor water uptake, decreased yields						
Nitrate-N	10-100 ppm	Low-chlorosis; High-'burn' if hot, dry						
Olsen Phosphorus (P)	16-60 ppm	Low-poor energy storage, root growth High-possible Zn deficiency or P losses						
Potassium (K)	250-700 ppm	Low-chlorosis, short internodes High-possible Ca deficiency						
Zinc (Zn)	> 0.5 ppm	Low-stunted growth, interveinal chlorosis						

## Any red flags here?

DALLING SUMMERSON DEPARTMENT	UNUT	ERPR	ETAN	1ST CROP CH					
INALS INVESTIGATION II IS	Wilcow	Willow Illow Wied High			Barley-Malting				
0-6"					YIELD GO,				
0-24"	73 lb/ac	****	****			50		BU	
		****	****			SUGGESTED GUI			
Nitrate						Band			
Olsop	14 ppm			****	***	LB/A	LB/ACRE		
Phosphorus						N	10		
Potassium	289 ppm	****	****	****	****	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	15	Ban	
Chloride						К20	10	Ban	
0-6"	8 lb/ac	****	**			CI			
6-24" Sulfur	360 +lb/ac	****	****	****	****	S	5	Bai	
Boron						В			
Zinc	0.57 ppm	****	****			Zn	2		
Iron	<u> </u>					Fe			
Manganese						Mn			
Copper									
Magnesium						Cu			
Sodium				<u> </u>		Mg			
Org Matter	25.04	****	***			Lime			
Carbonate(CCE)	2.5 70						1		
0-6"	0.44 mmho/cm	****	***			Soil pH Buffe		iffer p	
6-24" Sol. Salts	0.67 mmho/cm	****	****	***		7.5			

# What else do you see on soil test reports?

## Fertilizer Recommendations

- Use EB 161. Point out 'Guidelines'
- Nitrogen: Need yield potential. Spring wheat – 3.3 lb N/bu Winter wheat – 2.6 lb N/bu Malt barley – 1.2 lb N/bu Grass – 25 lb N/ton

WHEAT-WINTER								
Yield Potential (bu/a)*	Available N (lbs/a) **							
30	78							
40	104							
50	130							
60	156							
70	182							
80	208							
90	234							

 Fertilizer N = Available N (from table)

- spring soil N (lb N/ac) (-25 lb N/ac if fall soil N)

 - 10 lb N/ac if previous crop was an annual legume, 40 lb N/ac if previous crop was alfalfa

- 20 lb N/ac if > 3% O.M.

+ 10 lb N/ac per 1000 lb of residue/ac if N will be topdressed on stubble (up to 40 lb N/ac)

### How estimate straw residue?

- Need previous yield (bu/ac) and test weight (lb/bu)
- Grain yield (lb/ac) = Yield x test weight
- Straw yield (lb/ac) = Grain yield x 1.67 (ww)
  Grain yield x 1.33 (sw)
- Residue = straw yield straw baled

Need help? Call or email me.

## Questions for you:

- Why might more N be needed this coming year in recrop areas that received good moisture in '06?
- Why might less N be needed this coming year for crops being seeded into fallow that received good moisture?

## **QUESTIONS?**

## What else should you and the grower consider in selecting N rate?

- Cost of fertilizer
- Expected price/bu
- Expected size of discounts



http://www.montana.edu/extensionecon/software/FertilizerCostBenefit.xls

### Phosphorus and Potassium Fertilization Strategies

- Sufficiency Approach Do you want to apply minimum necessary to maximize yield in most years? If so, use Table 18 (P) and Table 19 (K).
- Maintenance Approach Do you want to replace the nutrients removed at harvest? *If so*, use Table 21.
- Build Approach Do you want to build your soil P and K, to minimize yield losses and save on fertilizer in future years? *If so*, add amounts from 1 and 2.

What might grower's answer depend upon?

#### From Table 18

	Olsen P Soil Test Level (ppm)									
Crop	0	4	8	12	16*					
	P Fertilizer Rate (lbs P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /a)									
Wheat-Spring	50	45	35	30	20					
Wheat-Winter	55	50	45	40	35					

If want to see specific example, go to <u>http://landresources.montana.edu/soilfertility</u>

Go to 'Soil Fertility 101-Wheat Focus' under 'Presentations'

## **QUESTIONS?**

## N Source Options

- Urea (46-0-0)
- UAN liquid (28-0-0)
- Anhydrous ammonia (82-0-0)
- Ammonium nitrate (limited supplies)
- Ammonium sulfate (21-0-0-24). Expensive per lb of N, but S can increase protein, esp. in dry years.
- CRNs Controlled release nitrogen, such as ESN.
- Urease inhibitors Applied to urea to decrease volatilization, such as Agrotain.

## **Controlled Release N**

- Made with polymer coatings to:
  - -Decrease leaching
  - -Decrease volatilization

Ex: In 124 studies, ESN increased corn yield an average of 7 bu/ac over urea (Blaylock and Tindall, 2006). Increase likely due to decreased volatilization.

ESN Cost? \$50 -\$70 more per ton. Net economic gain on corn (mainly Midwest)

Worth of CRNs and Agrotain on small grains and forages in Montana? Not enough research yet to say, but benefits likely less due to smaller revenues here and less potential for volatilization.

## Differences between urea and ammonium nitrate

• Urea is more damaging to seed germination

**Implications:** 

MSU recommends < 30 lb N/ac of AN with seed, but < 15 lb N/ac of UR (crop dependent).</li>
 Recommend a spreader (or wider spreader) so that more UR can be placed near seed.

Urea has higher potential to volatilize

Implications:

- 1. Urea application should be done during period with cool temperatures, especially when on moist, sandy soils with residue.
- 2. Urea should be irrigated (>0.5 inches) or tilled in if possible.
- Urea is not immediately available for plant uptake

Implication: For same effect, urea needs to be applied earlier in season, especially if Fall soil test N levels are low (<20-30 lb N/ac).

## P Source options

- Monoammonium P (MAP)
- •Diammonium P (DAP)

•Liquids (generally more expensive than MAP and DAP)

Generally no yield differences between sources. Exception: Liquids produce higher yields on highly calcareous soils (> 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub>)

Placement: Need roughly 3 times more P if broadcast than if placed near the seed at Olsen P levels < 8 ppm, and 2 times more P when Olsen P = 8-12 ppm. MSU guidelines assume P will be banded with the seed.

## **Conclusions**

- Given some criteria, soil test reports can be quickly evaluated for potential problems.
- N, P, and K recommendations can be made by knowing how to use EB 161 AND giving the grower some options (e.g. sufficiency vs. build)
- Different N and P sources generally don't produce large yield differences. However, good management of volatile N sources can reduce yield losses in some situations.

## **QUESTIONS?**

For more information on N cycling, fertilizer sources, placement and timing see: <a href="http://landresources.montana.edu/nm">http://landresources.montana.edu/nm</a>

For more information on urea volatilization and management, see: <a href="http://www.oznet.ksu.edu/library/crpsl2/NCR326.pdf">http://www.oznet.ksu.edu/library/crpsl2/NCR326.pdf</a>

MSU Soil Fertility webpage: http://landresources.montana.edu/soilfertility